

Minutes

NORTH PLANNING COMMITTEE

22 June 2010

Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre,
High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW



HILLINGDON
LONDON

	<p>Committee Members Present: Councillors Eddie Lavery (Chairman), David Allam (Labour Lead), Jazz Dhillon, Mike Markham, Carol Melvin, David Payne and Brian Stead.</p> <p>LBH Officers Present: Natasha Dogra (Democratic Services Officer) Meg Hirani (Planning Officer) Syed Shah (Planning Officer) Keith Lancaster (Legal Advisor) James Rodger (Head of Planning)</p>	
1.	<p>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (<i>Agenda Item 1</i>)</p> <p>Councillor Brian Stead substitutes Councillor Allan Kauffman. Councillor Jazz Dhillon substitutes Councillor Anita MacDonald.</p>	Action by
2.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS COMING BEFORE THIS MEETING (<i>Agenda Item 2</i>)</p> <p>Councillor David Payne declared a non-prejudicial interest in the following items:</p> <p>Item 6: RAF Eastcote, Lime Grove, Ruislip. Item 7: RAF Eastcote, Lime Grove, Ruislip. Item 12: 41 Rushdene Road, Eastcote.</p> <p>Cllr Payne left the room while these items were considered and did not vote on any of the three items.</p>	Action by
3.	<p>TO SIGN AND RECEIVE THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (<i>Agenda Item 3</i>)</p> <p>The minutes of 20th May 2010 and 1st June 2010 were agreed by the Committee.</p>	Action by
4.	<p>MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED IN ADVANCE OR URGENT (<i>Agenda Item 4</i>)</p> <p>None.</p>	Action by
5.	<p>TO CONFIRM THAT THE ITEMS OF BUSINESS MARKED PART 1 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PUBLIC AND THAT THE ITEMS MARKED PART 2 WILL BE CONSIDERED IN PRIVATE (<i>Agenda</i></p>	Action by

	<p><i>Item 5)</i></p> <p>It was confirmed that all items marked 6 – 13 would be considered in public, while items marked 14 – 16 would be considered in private.</p>	
6.	<p>RAF EASTCOTE, LIME GROVE, RUISLIP 10189/APP/2010/736 <i>(Agenda Item 6)</i></p> <p>Officers presented the report to Members. Members did not have any comments.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the application be approved for the reasons listed below. On being put to the vote, approved was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the application be approved as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
7.	<p>RAF EASTCOTE, LIME GROVE, RUISLIP 10189/APP/2010/737 <i>(Agenda Item 7)</i></p> <p>Officers presented their report to Members. Members did not have any comments.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the application be approved for the reasons listed below. On being put to the vote, approved was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the application be approved as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
8.	<p>LAND FORMING PART OF 11 AND 11 HOYLAK GARDENS, RUISLIP 66856/APP/2010/518 <i>(Agenda Item 8)</i></p> <p>In accordance with the Council’s Constitution a representative of the petition received in objection to the proposal was invited to address the meeting.</p> <p>Points raised by the petitioner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The new dwelling would negatively affect the street scene. • Parking would be a problem for residents of the street, as more cars would need to be parked on the street. • The current visual gap between the houses would be lost if the new dwelling was erected. <p>A Ward Councillor addressed the meeting and raised the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The new dwelling would cause the street to become overcrowded • Available parking spaces would be reduced leading to problems 	Action by

	<p>for current residents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The visual spacing in the street scene would be damaged • The new dwelling would cause overshadowing on neighbouring houses. <p>Further issues raised by Members included the lack of space on the street to erect a large dwelling as requested.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the reasons listed in the report. On being put to the vote, refusal was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the application be refused as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	
9.	<p>232 WOODLANDS AVENUE 66932/APP/2010/793 (<i>Agenda Item 9</i>)</p> <p>In accordance with the Council’s Constitution a representative of the petition received in objection to the proposal was invited to address the meeting.</p> <p>Points raised by the petitioner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current street was currently occupied by families – the introduction of flats would lead to a fundamental change to the street culture. • Street parking would become an issue for current residents as the availability of parking would decline. • The plans for the flats did not meet the Council’s requirements and so should be deemed unfit for living in. • <p>A Ward Councillor addressed the meeting and raised the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current dwelling seemed too small to be divided into flats • The conversion would damage the street scene layout. <p>It was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the reasons listed in the report. On being put to the vote, refusal was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the application be refused as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
10.	<p>2 HILLIARD ROAD, NORTHWOOD 34684/APP/2010/841 (<i>Agenda Item 10</i>)</p> <p>This application was withdrawn by the applicant prior to the meeting, and was therefore not considered by the Committee.</p>	Action by
11.	<p>40 ELGOOD AVENUE, NORTHWOOD 2276/APP/2010/811 (<i>Agenda Item 11</i>)</p>	Action by

	<p>Members discussed the application and decided that the porch of the dwelling was very large and out of character with the other dwellings in the street. Members agreed that extensions must keep in character with surrounding dwellings.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the reasons listed in the report. On being put to the vote, refusal was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the application be refused as set out in the officer’s report and addendum</p>	
12.	<p>41 RUSHDENE ROAD, EASTCOTE 51162/APP/2010/817 (<i>Agenda Item 12</i>)</p> <p>Officers informed Members that the height of the single storey rear extension had been altered since the application was heard last time.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the reasons listed in the report. On being put to the vote, refusal was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the application be refused as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
13.	<p>1 LICHFIELD ROAD, NORTHWOOD 14701/APP/2009/2154 (<i>Agenda Item 13</i>)</p> <p>Officers presented their report to the Committee. Members found that the application did not meet the Council’s requirements. Parking availability would decline should this application be approved. The design and appearance of the application failed to keep in character with the surrounding dwellings.</p> <p>Officers informed Members that the spelling of the address, 1 <i>Lichfield</i> Road, would be amended in refusal reason 1, should the application be refused.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the application be refused for the reasons listed in the report. On being put to the vote, refusal was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the application be refused as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
14.	<p>ENFORCEMENT REPORT (<i>Agenda Item 14</i>)</p> <p>The enforcement report was presented to Members.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the enforcement be imposed. On being put to the vote, enforcement was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the enforcement be imposed as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by

15.	<p>ENFORCEMENT REPORT (<i>Agenda Item 15</i>)</p> <p>The enforcement report was presented to Members.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the enforcement be imposed. On being put to the vote, enforcement was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the enforcement be imposed as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
16.	<p>ENFORCEMENT REPORT (<i>Agenda Item 16</i>)</p> <p>The enforcement report was presented to Members.</p> <p>It was moved and seconded that the enforcement be imposed. On being put to the vote, enforcement was unanimously agreed.</p> <p>Resolved – That the enforcement be imposed as set out in the officer’s report and addendum.</p>	Action by
17.	ANY ITEMS TRANSFERRED FROM PART 1 (<i>Agenda Item 17</i>)	Action by
18.	ANY OTHER BUSINESS IN PART 2 (<i>Agenda Item 18</i>)	Action by
	The meeting, which commenced at 7.00 pm, closed at 8.30 pm.	

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Natasha Dogra on 01895 277488. Circulation of these minutes is to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.